



TITLE:

# New Exponents and Betti Numbers of Complement of Hyperplanes (Complex Analysis of Singularities)

AUTHOR(S):

TERAO, HIROAKI

---

CITATION:

TERAO, HIROAKI. New Exponents and Betti Numbers of Complement of Hyperplanes  
(Complex Analysis of Singularities). 数理解析研究所講究録 1981, 415: 177-195

ISSUE DATE:

1981-02

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/102466>

RIGHT:

New exponents and Betti numbers  
of complement of hyperplanes

Hiroaki TERAO\*

(International Christian University)

§0. Introduction

The aim of this article is to report the results in [8][9][10] and to give the outlines of their proofs. For further details see the original papers.

We define an n-arrangement as a finite family of hyperplanes through the origin  $O$  in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ . Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -arrangement. By  $|X|$  denote we the union of all hyperplanes belonging to  $X$ . Our subject here is the Poincaré polynomial  $P_M(t)$  of  $M = \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus |X|$ . Let  $Q \in \mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_n]$  be a defining equation of  $|X|$ .

(0.1) Definition. We say that  $X$  is free if

$$D(X) := \left\{ \text{germ } \theta \text{ at } O \text{ of holomorphic vector field such that } \theta \cdot Q \in Q \cdot \mathcal{O} \right\}$$

is a free  $\mathcal{O}$ -module, where  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, O}$ .

---

\*) The author gratefully acknowledges support by the Grant in Aid for Scientific Research of the Ministry of Education of Japan No. 574047.

A germ  $\theta$  of holomorphic vector field at 0 is said to be homogeneous of degree  $d$ , denoted by  $\deg \theta = d$ , if  $\theta$  has a local expression

$$\theta = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$$

at the origin such that all  $f_i$ 's are homogeneous polynomials and all non-zero  $f_i$ 's have the same degree  $d$ . A little observation leads us to the existence of a system of homogeneous free basis  $\{\theta_0, \dots, \theta_n\}$  for  $D(X)$  if  $X$  is a free  $n$ -arrangement. It is easy to see that the set  $\{\deg \theta_0, \dots, \deg \theta_n\}$  of non-negative integers depends only on  $X$ .

(0.2) Definition. We call  $(\deg \theta_0, \dots, \deg \theta_n)$  the exponents of a free  $n$ -arrangement  $X$ .

Let  $(d_0, \dots, d_n)$  be the exponents of a free  $n$ -arrangement  $X$ . Then our main result here is:

Main Theorem.  $P_M(t) = \prod_{i=0}^n (1 + d_i t)$ .

Let  $G \subset GL(n+1; \mathbb{C})$  be a finite unitary reflection groups acting on  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ . Then the set of the reflecting hyperplanes of the unitary reflections in  $G$  makes an  $n$ -arrangement  $X$ . Such an arrangement is called a unitary reflection arrangement. Then we can prove that  $X$  is free. Moreover its exponents coincide with the exponents of  $G$ .

which were recently introduced by Orlik-Solomon ([3]).

In this special case our Main Theorem is nothing other than the main result in [3]. For details see [10].

Especially when  $G$  is real, our Main Theorem was first proved by Brieskorn ([1] Theorem 6(ii)).

Remark. The class of the free arrangements is far wider than that of the unitary reflection arrangements. In fact many examples suggest that the freeness of arrangement is a combinatorial property ([6]).

In Sect. 1, we study an  $n$ -arrangement by a combinatorial method. Our main tool for it is the Möbius function on the lattice associated with the  $n$ -arrangement. We shall give a characterization of the Möbius function (1.5). For this purpose we need a notion called  $i$ -cumulativity which plays a main role in the proof of Main Theorem. At the end of Sect. 1, we state Proposition A concerning the cumulativity of product of Möbius functions.

In Sect. 2, we try to compute the Hilbert polynomial  $H(\mathcal{O}/J(X); \nu)$ , where  $J(X)$  stands for the Jacobian ideal of the defining equation  $Q$  of  $|X|$ . Assume that  $X$  is a free  $n$ -arrangement. Then we have an explicit formula (2.9) for  $H(\mathcal{O}/J(X); \nu)$  by using the exponents of

X. This formula and Proposition B in Sect. 2, which asserts the cumulateness of the coefficients of  $H(\theta/J(X); \nu)$ , lead us to the proof of Main Theorem which is in Sect. 3.

Our key results for the proof are a characterization of the Möbius function (1.5), Proposition A, B and the explicit formula (2.9) for  $H(\theta/J(X); \nu)$ .

Let X be a finite family of hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  or  $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ . The intersection of all hyperplanes belonging to X may be void. We can define the notion of the freeness for X also in this case. Moreover we can define the exponents of X if X is free and prove that

$$P_M(t) = \prod_{i=0}^n (1 + d_i t).$$

( $M = \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \bigcup_{H \in X} H$  or  $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \bigcup_{H \in X} H$  and  $(d_0, \dots, d_n)$  are the exponents of X.) This gives a generalization of Main Theorem. For the full explanation on this generalization, see [9].

§1. Combinatorial study of an n-arrangement

Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -arrangement in this section.

(1.1) Definition. Let

$$L(X) := \left\{ \bigcap_{H \in A} H; A \subset X \right\},$$

where we interpret that

$$\mathbb{C}^{n+1} = \bigcap_{H \in \emptyset} H.$$

Define the join and meet operations in  $L(X)$  by

$$s \vee t = s \cup t,$$

$$\text{and } s \wedge t = \bigcap_{H \in A} H \text{ (H runs over a set } \{L \in X; L \supset s \cup t\}) \text{ for } s, t \in L(X).$$

Then  $L(X)$  becomes a lattice which is called the lattice associated with an n-arrangement  $X$ .

Write  $s \leq t$  if  $s \vee t = t$  ( $s, t \in L(X)$ ).

(1.2) Definition. Define the Möbius function  $\mu$  on  $L(X)$  inductively defined by

$$\mu(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}) = 1$$

$$\mu(s) = - \sum_{\substack{t \leq s \\ t \neq s}} \mu(t).$$

(1.3) Definition. The rank of  $s \in L(X)$ , denoted by  $r(s)$ , is the length of the longest chain in  $L(X)$  below  $s$ . Thus

$$r(s) = \text{codim}_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1}} s.$$

For any integer  $i \geq 0$ , put

$$\mu_i(L(X)) := \sum_{\substack{s \in L(X) \\ r(s)=i}} |\mu(s)|.$$

For any  $s \in L(X)$ , define a new  $n$ -arrangement

$$X_s := \{H \in X; s \subset H\}.$$

Put  $\mathcal{A}(X) := \{X_s; s \in L(X)\}$ . Consider the mappings

$$\mu_i \circ L : \mathcal{A}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \quad (i \geq 0)$$

corresponding  $Y \in \mathcal{A}(X)$  to  $\mu_i(L(Y))$ .

We will give a characterization of these mappings  $\mu_i \circ L$  ( $i \geq 0$ ). For this purpose we need

(1.4) Definition. For a mapping

$$q : \mathcal{A}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z},$$

define a new mapping

$$r_i q : \mathcal{A}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

by 
$$(r_i q)(Y) = q(Y) - \sum_{\substack{s \in L(Y) \\ r(s)=i}} q(Y_s)$$

for any  $Y \in \mathcal{A}(X)$  and any integer  $i \geq 0$ . Denote  $r_i r_{i-1} \dots r_0 q$  by  $R_i q$ .

We say that  $q$  is  $i$ -cumulative ( $i \geq 0$ ) on  $X$  if

$$(R_i q)(X) = 0.$$

(1.5) Theorem. (A characterization of  $\mu_i \cdot L$  ( $i \geq 0$ )).

Assume that the mappings

$$q_j : \mathcal{A}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \quad (j = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

satisfy the following conditions:

- I.  $q_0(\phi) = 1$ .
- II.  $q_j(X_s) = 0$  if  $s \in L(X)$  and  $r(s) < j$  ( $j \geq 0$ ).
- III. The alternating sum of  $q_j(Y)$  ( $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) is zero if  $Y \in \mathcal{A}(X) \setminus \{\phi\}$ .
- IV.  $q_j$  is  $j$ -cumulative on any  $Y \in \mathcal{A}(X)$  ( $j = 0, \dots, i$ ).

Then  $q_j = \mu_j \cdot L$  ( $j = 0, \dots, i$ ) on  $\mathcal{A}(X)$ .

Proof. see [8].

Define the mappings

$$q_j : \mathcal{A}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \quad (j \geq 0)$$



by  $q_j(Y) = b_j(\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus |Y|) \quad (Y \in \mathcal{A}(X)),$

where the right handside stands for the  $j$ -th Betti number of  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus |Y|$ . Then it is not too difficult to show that the conditions I-IV in (1.5) hold true for any  $i \geq 0$  (cf. [1] Lemma 3). Thus we have

(1.6) Theorem. For any  $n$ -arrangement, we have

$$b_j(\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus |X|) = \mu_j \cdot L(X) \quad (j = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

This theorem was first proved by Orlik-Solomon [2].

Let  $X$  be a finite family of hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  or  $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ . The intersection of all hyperplanes belonging to  $X$  may be void. Put

$$M = \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \bigcup_{H \in X} H \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbb{P}^{n+1}(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \bigcup_{H \in X} H.$$

We have a formula for  $P_M(t)$  by using the Möbius functions also in this case. For further details of this generalization, see [9].

Assume that  $Q \in \mathbb{R}[z_0, \dots, z_n]$ , a product of real linear forms, is a defining equation of a free  $n$ -arrangement  $X$ . By combining Main Theorem with (1.6) and the Zaslavsky's result ([11] p. 16 Theorem A), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \# \{ \text{connected component of } \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{Q = 0\} \} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} b_i(\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus |X|) = \prod_{i=0}^n (1+d_i). \end{aligned}$$

This equality was proved when  $n = 2$  in [7]. K. Saito proved

$$\# \{ \text{connected component of } \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{Q = 0\} \} \leq \prod_{i=0}^n (1+d_i)$$

in [4].

For an arbitrary multi-index  $I = (I(1), \dots, I(k))$  composing of  $k$  non-negative integers, define

$$\mu_{I \circ L} : \mathcal{A}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\text{by } \mu_{I \circ L}(Y) = \prod_{j=1}^k \mu_{I(j) \circ L}(Y). \text{ Define } |I| = \sum_{j=1}^k I(j).$$

One reason why the notion of  $i$ -cumulateness plays an important role in our theory is the following

Proposition A.  $\mu_{I \circ L}$  is  $|I|$ -cumulative.

The proof, which is omitted here, is purely combinatorial (see [8]).

§2. The Hilbert polynomial of  $\mathcal{O}/J(X)$ 

From now on we denote  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^{n+1},0}$  simply by  $\mathcal{O}$ .

Let  $Q$  be a defining equation of  $|X|$ . By  $\partial Q$  denote we the Jacobian ideal of  $Q$  in  $\mathcal{O}$  (i.e.,  $\partial Q = (\partial Q/\partial z_0, \dots, \partial Q/\partial z_n)\mathcal{O}$ ). Then  $\partial Q$  depends only on  $X$ . Define the Jacobian ideal  $J(X)$  of  $X$  by

$$J(X) = \begin{cases} \partial Q & \text{if } X \neq \emptyset \\ \mathcal{O} & \text{if } X = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

(2.1) Definition. Introduce a decreasing filtration

$$(\mathcal{O}^k)_m = \underbrace{\mathcal{M}^m \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{M}^m}_k \quad (m \geq 0)$$

on an  $\mathcal{O}$ -module  $\mathcal{O}^k$  ( $k > 0$ ). Then this filtration  $((\mathcal{O}^k)_m)_{m \geq 0}$  makes  $\mathcal{O}^k$  to be an  $\mathcal{M}$ -bonne filtered  $\mathcal{O}$ -module (see [5]).

By the natural projection  $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}/J(X)$ , we can introduce an  $\mathcal{M}$ -bonne filtration on  $\mathcal{O}/J(X)$ .

On the other hand,  $D(X)$  can be embedded in  $\mathcal{O}^{n+1}$  by the correspondence

$$\sum_{i=0}^n f_i (\partial/\partial z_i) \mapsto (f_0, \dots, f_n) \quad (f_i \in \mathcal{O} \ (i = 0, \dots, n)).$$

Denote this mapping by  $\alpha: D(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{n+1}$ . So one can induce an  $\mathcal{M}$ -bonne filtration on  $D(X)$ .

From now on we regard  $\mathcal{O}^{n+1}$ ,  $\mathcal{O}$ ,  $\mathcal{O}/J(X)$  and  $D(X)$  as

$\mathcal{M}$ -bonne filtered  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules in the above manners.

(2.2) Definition. Let  $M = (M_n)_{n \geq 0}$  be an  $\mathcal{M}$ -bonne (decreasingly) filtered  $\mathcal{O}$ -module. A polynomial  $H(M; \nu)$  is characterized by the property that:

$H(M; \nu) \in \mathbb{Q}[\nu]$  equals the dimension of  $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathbb{C}$ -vector space  $M_\nu / M_{\nu+1}$  for sufficiently large  $\nu$ .

We call  $H(M; \nu)$  the Hilbert polynomial of  
 $M = (M_n)_{n \geq 0}$ .

(2.3) Definition. Let  $M = (M_n)_{n \geq 0}$  be a filtered  $\mathcal{O}$ -module. Then  $M(k) = (M(k)_n)_{n \geq 0}$  is another  $\mathcal{O}$ -module defined by  $M(k)_n = M_{k+n}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $k \geq 0$ . Then it is easy to see that

$$H(M(k); \nu) = H(M; k + \nu)$$

for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $k \geq 0$ .

Let  $m = \#X = \deg Q$ . Then we have an exact sequence

$$(2.4) \quad 0 \longrightarrow D(X) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{O}^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\beta} (\mathcal{O}/Q \cdot \mathcal{O})^{(m-1)} \\ \xrightarrow{\gamma} (\mathcal{O}/J(X))^{(m-1)} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where

$$\beta(f_0, \dots, f_n) = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i (\partial Q / \partial z_i) \quad (f_i \in \mathcal{O} \ (i = 0, \dots, n))$$

and  $\gamma$  is the natural projection. Each mapping above is strictly compatible with each filtration. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & H(\mathcal{O}/J(X); \nu_{+m-1}) \\ &= H(\mathcal{O}/Q \cdot \mathcal{O}; \nu_{+m-1}) - H(\mathcal{O}^{n+1}; \nu) + H(D(X); \nu). \end{aligned}$$

For our convenience, put

$$f^{(m)} = \frac{(f+1) \cdots (f+m)}{m} \text{ and } f^{(0)} = 1$$

for any polynomial  $f$  and  $m > 0$ . Then

$$H(\mathcal{O}; \nu) = \nu^{(n)},$$

and thus

$$H(\mathcal{O}^{n+1}; ) = (n+1)\nu^{(n)}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} & H(\mathcal{O}/Q \cdot \mathcal{O}; \nu_{+m-1}) \\ &= (\nu_{+m-1})^{(n)} - (\nu_{-1})^{(n)} \\ &= m \cdot \nu^{(n-1)} + \sum_{i=2}^n \binom{m+i-2}{i} \nu^{(n-i)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $X$  be free with its exponents  $(d_0, \dots, d_n)$  throughout this section. Then we have

$$H(D(X); \nu) = \sum_{i=0}^n (\nu - d_i)^{(n)},$$

and thus

$$(2.5) \quad H(\mathcal{O}/J(X); \nu + m - 1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= m \cdot \nu^{(n-1)} + \sum_{i=2}^n \binom{m+i-2}{i} \nu^{(n-i)} - (n+1) \nu^{(n)} + \sum_{i=0}^n (\nu - d_i)^{(n)} \\ &= \left( m - \sum_{i=0}^n d_i \right) \cdot \nu^{(n-1)} + \sum_{i=2}^n \left\{ \binom{m+i-2}{i} + (-1)^i \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{d_j}{j} \right\} \nu^{(n-i)}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we know that

$$\deg H(\mathcal{O}/J(X); \nu) = \deg(\mathcal{O}/\partial Q; \nu) = \dim \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}/\partial Q) - 1 \leq n-2$$

if  $X \neq \emptyset$ . If  $X = \emptyset$ , then

$$H(\mathcal{O}/J(X); \nu) = 0.$$

Thus we have proved

$$(2.6) \quad \underline{\text{Proposition.}} \quad m = \sum_{i=0}^n d_i.$$

Define  $P_i(X)$  ( $i = 2, \dots, n$ )  $\in \mathbb{Z}$  by

$$H(\mathcal{O}/J(X); \nu) = \sum_{i=2}^n P_i(X) \cdot \nu^{(n-i)}.$$

Then we can explicitly compute

$$(2.7) \quad P_i(X)$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{i-2} \left\{ (-1)^j \binom{d_0 + \dots + d_n + i - j - 2}{i-j} + (-1)^i \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{d_k}{i-j} \right\} \cdot \binom{d_0 + \dots + d_n - 1}{j}$$

because of (2.5) and (2.6).

(2.8) Definition. Let  $k \geq 1$ . Let  $I = (I(1), \dots, I(k))$

be a multi-index composing of  $k$  non-negative integers.

Define

$$\sigma_I(X) = \prod_{i=1}^k \sigma_{I(i)}(d_0, \dots, d_n),$$

where  $\sigma_j \in \mathbb{C}[t_0, \dots, t_n]$  ( $j \geq 0$ ) is the elementary symmetric polynomial of degree  $j$ . When  $k = 1$ , we write  $\sigma_j(X)$  instead of  $\sigma_{(j)}(X)$  ( $j \geq 0$ ). Thus (2.6) asserts that  $\#X = \sigma_1(X)$ .

The following key lemma is not difficult to be verified:

(2.9) Lemma. For each integer  $i$  ( $2 \leq i \leq n$ ), there exist real numbers  $c(I; i)$  ( $I \in I[i]$ ), which are independent of  $X$ , such that

$$P_i(X) + \frac{1}{(i-1)!} \sigma_i(X) = \sum_{I \in I[i]} c(I; i) \sigma_I(X).$$

Here

$$I[i] := \left\{ I = (I(1), \dots, I(k)); 0 \leq I(j) < i \ (j = 1, \dots, k), \right. \\ \left. \sum_{j=1}^k I(j) \leq i \right\}.$$

Since  $X$  is free, any element in  $A(X)$  is also free (see [8] (5.5)). Thus we can define the mappings

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_j : A(X) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} \ (2 \leq j \leq n) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y & \longmapsto & P_j(Y). \end{array}$$

The following is the most important proposition for the proof of Main Theorem:

Proposition B.  $P_j$  is  $j$ -cumulative ( $2 \leq j \leq n$ ).

Our proof is difficult and long. See [8] (5.10).



## §3. Proof of Main Theorem

In this section we shall prove Main Theorem. The crucial results for our proof are (1.5), Proposition A (§1), Proposition B (§2) and (2.9).

The following is stronger than Main Theorem:

(3.1) Theorem. Let  $i \geq 0$ . Then we have

- 1)<sub>i</sub>  $\sigma_i(X) = \mu_i \circ L(X)$  for any free  $n$ -arrangement  $X$ ,
- 2)<sub>i</sub>  $\sigma_i : \mathcal{A}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is  $i$ -cumulative for any free  $n$ -arrangement  $X$ .

Proof. When  $i \leq 1$ , we can verify 1)<sub>i</sub> and 2)<sub>i</sub> because of (2.6).

Let  $i \geq 2$ . Assume that 1)<sub>j</sub> ( $j = 0, 1, \dots, i-1$ ) hold true. Let  $X$  be a free  $n$ -arrangement. Recall (2.9), then we have

$$P_i(X) + \frac{1}{(i-1)!} \sigma_i(X) = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}[i]} c(I; i) (\mu_I \circ L)(X).$$

By Proposition A, we know that  $\mu_I \circ L$  is  $|I|$ -cumulative. Since  $|I| \leq i$  for  $I \in \mathcal{I}[i]$ , we can see that  $\mu_I \circ L$  is  $i$ -cumulative. Thus we have the  $i$ -cumulativity of  $\mu_i$  because the sum of two  $i$ -cumulative mappings is also  $i$ -cumulative. This is 2)<sub>i</sub>.

Next assume 2)<sub>j</sub> ( $j = 0, 1, \dots, i$ ). Let  $X$  be a free  $n$ -arrangement. Then the assumption implies that the

mappings

$$\sigma_j : \mathcal{A}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \quad (j \geq 0)$$

satisfy the condition IV in (1.5). Moreover it is not too difficult to see that the mappings  $\sigma_j$  ( $j \geq 0$ ) also satisfy the conditions I, II and III in (1.5). Thus we can apply (1.5) and have

$$\sigma_i = \mu_i \circ L$$

on  $\mathcal{A}(X)$ . This is  $1)_i$ .

Q.E.D.

(3.2) The observation so far shows that the following four data concerning a free  $n$ -arrangement  $X$  are equivalent:

- (1) The set of the exponents  $(d_0, \dots, d_n)$  of  $X$ , which is equivalent to the polynomial

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \sigma_i(X) t^i = \prod_{i=0}^n (1 + d_i t),$$

- (2) The Hilbert polynomial  $H(\mathcal{O}/J(X); \nu)$  together with  $\#X$ , which is equivalent to the data

$$(\#X, P_2(X), \dots, P_n(X)),$$

- (3) The polynomial  $\sum_{i=0}^n (\mu_i \circ L(X)) t^i,$

(4) The Poincaré polynomial of  $M = \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus |x|$ , which is equivalent to the data

$$(b_0(M), b_1(M), \dots, b_{n+1}(M)).$$

#### References

1. Brieskorn, E.: Sur les groupes de tresses (d'après V.I. Arnold), Séminaire Bourbaki 24<sup>e</sup> année 1971/72. Springer Lecture Notes No. 317, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1973.
2. Orlik, P., Solomon, L.: Combinatorics and topology of complements of hyperplanes. *Inventiones math.* 56, 167-189 (1980).
3. Orlik, P., Solomon, L.: Unitary reflection groups and cohomology. *Inventiones math.* 59, 77-94 (1980).
4. Saito, K.: Theory of logarithmic differential forms and logarithmic vector fields. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA Math.* 27, 265-291 (1980).
5. Serre, J.P.: *Algèbre locale multiplicités*. Springer Lecture Notes No. 11, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1965.

6. Terao, H.: Arrangements of hyperplanes and their freeness I. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA Math. 27, 293-312 (1980).
7. Terao, H.: Arrangements of hyperplanes and their freeness II -the Coxeter equality-. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA Math. 27, 313-320 (1980).
8. Terao, H.: Generalized exponents of a free arrangement of hyperplanes and Shepherd-Todd-Brieskorn formula (to appear).
9. Terao, H.: On Betti numbers of complement of hyperplanes (to appear).
10. Terao, H.: Free arrangements of hyperplanes and unitary reflection groups. Proc. Japan Acad. 56A(8) (1980).
11. Zaslavsky, T.: Facing up to arrangements: face-count formulas for partitions of space by hyperplanes, Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc. No. 154, Providence: AMS 1975.